

# Quarterly Manager Views

## FSSA Global Emerging Markets Equities Focus

February 2026

### Defence vs. Offence

The world today feels like a more uncertain place than it has for decades. That is not just a matter of sentiment; it reflects a genuine shift in the global order. In the post-Cold War period, the world followed a broadly unipolar system – a rules-based framework anchored by the US – which delivered a prolonged “peace dividend” and supported the steady deepening of global trade and capital flows. However, it is increasingly being recognised that this period has come to an end.

A more multipolar world is not inherently a worse one; but history shows that it is typically more conflict prone. As power becomes more diffuse, it becomes increasingly difficult to enforce a rules-based order and there are growing incentives to test the boundaries. The late 19<sup>th</sup> century offers an imperfect but useful parallel: this was a period marked by rising powers, intensifying strategic rivalries and frequent flashpoints, even as innovation and trade continued to expand. The point is not that history repeats – it rarely does – but that multipolarity generally results in higher friction.

This shift matters to investors for two reasons.

First, the reliability premium that has underpinned global capital markets may begin to erode. For decades, the US benefited from an exceptional combination of deep and open capital markets, strong institutions and a global security role that reinforced the perception of being the “best and safest” destination for capital. This attracted persistent inflows and enabled the US to run large external and fiscal deficits without an immediate market penalty. But if confidence in its institutional predictability weakens, even at the margin, its risk premia will rise and diversification away from the US becomes more rational. The adjustment

may be gradual, but it does not need to be abrupt to have meaningful implications for investors, especially as emerging market economies now account for more than half of global Gross Domestic Product (GDP), roughly double their share 25 years ago, but only about 10% of global equity indices.<sup>1</sup> If the institutional and policy gap between the US and emerging markets narrows – with the US becoming less predictable and parts of the emerging world becoming more so – even modest reallocations of capital could have a significant effect.

Second, the political economy underpinning US “exceptionalism” appears more fragile than it once was. Over time, systems that deliver disproportionate gains to capital while hollowing out the middle classes tend to become politically unstable – a dynamic anyone who is familiar with Argentina and Brazil in the mid-20<sup>th</sup> century will recognise. Rising inequality, regulatory capture and policy volatility are not just social considerations; they are investment risks. They often result in greater interventions, more populist policymaking and less predictable outcomes. Whether this is attributed to individual political actors or deeper structural forces is largely beside the point. The direction of travel is towards a less predictable policy environment – and that is rarely conducive to sustained high valuation multiples.

Against this backdrop, one question we are frequently asked relates to defence stocks, and why we don’t own any. After all, defence stocks have been a clear beneficiary as geopolitical risk moved to the foreground. Our answer is simple: defence companies sit firmly on our exclusions list. We have long had areas we simply do not invest in. These include businesses with structurally harmful products (such as tobacco), economics tied to addiction or social harm (for example, gambling), or externalities that are too large and too difficult to price with any confidence. The common

<sup>1</sup> Source: International Monetary Fund, GDP based on PPP, share of world (<https://www.imf.org/external/datamapper/PPPSH@WEO/OEMDC/ADVEC/WEOWORLD?year=2026> – retrieved 2 Feb 2026) and MSCI AC World Index as at 31 December 2025.

thread is not moral posturing but prudence. As long-term investors, our focus is on a company's licence to operate and stakeholder alignment. Over long holding periods, businesses that are misaligned with key stakeholders – employees, suppliers, customers or society at large – tend to face persistent licence-to-operate risks and non-linear regulatory outcomes that can overwhelm even robust investment cases. Experience suggests that where one set of stakeholders is consistently disadvantaged, minority shareholders are rarely immune.

Defence sits in a different category, but the same risk lens applies. While we are not against weapons being used in genuine defence, the problem is that it is extremely difficult to determine where defence ends and offence begins. In a world of proxy conflicts, dual-use technology and opaque end-users, a product's ultimate use is inherently uncertain. The same platform that can protect civilians in one context can enable escalation in another. In addition, unlike many other industries, a meaningful part of the customer base is the state – which means the ethical boundary is ultimately set by politics, not by the company. That ambiguity makes stewardship and accountability impossible to assess with any confidence. Without clarity on end-use and alignment, we would rather stay on the sidelines.

## Recent relative performance

A brief comment on recent portfolio performance is warranted. While we are not normally focused on short-term performance numbers, the magnitude of the relative underperformance since mid-2025 naturally deserves some explanation. To put the recent performance into context, the strategy rose by 7% (USD) in the second half of 2025 and by 24% (USD) over the full year. Under normal circumstances, we would consider this a very healthy outcome. However, market returns over the same period have been exceptionally strong (16% and 34% respectively),<sup>2</sup> driven primarily by areas of the benchmark that are not aligned with our investment approach. In particular, the strongest contributors have been parts of the technology hardware and semiconductor supply chain supported by elevated AI-related capital expenditure (capex), China value stocks (notably state-owned enterprises (SOEs), including energy-related companies), Korean cyclicals (outside of memory, where our exposure is concentrated in SK Hynix and Samsung Electronics) and the broader materials complex across emerging markets.

Taken together, these dynamics account for the majority of the divergence. Our positioning – and lack thereof – is set out below. Starting with IT hardware, while we recognise the strength of the capex cycle (and continue to own the likes of TSMC and SK Hynix, as discussed in our previous letter “On AI: The age of extremes”), we remain cautious on most technology hardware businesses as long-term

investments. In our experience, they tend to be structural price-takers rather than price-setters, operate in highly competitive and capital-intensive industries, and they struggle to maintain durable competitive advantages over time. Periods of supernormal profitability tend to attract rapid capacity expansion and new entrants, which ultimately compress margins and returns. Outside a small number of areas with genuine barriers to entry – such as foundry and memory semiconductors – it is difficult for the majority of hardware businesses to compound value consistently over the long term.

For similar structural reasons, we do not believe that Chinese value stocks, Korean cyclicals (aside from memory), or much of the materials complex across emerging markets are well suited for long-term investors. The underlying issues are structural and recurring: Chinese value stocks are largely dominated by SOEs, where alignment with minority shareholders is weak and capital allocation is often driven by policy objectives rather than returns. Secondly, Korean cyclicals are, by definition, highly cyclical businesses with volatile earnings and limited scope for long-term compounding. Unlike the memory companies, SK Hynix and Samsung Electronics, most other industries in Korea are capital intensive but lack durable competitive advantages. High fixed costs, limited pricing power and rapid capacity responses tend to compress returns over the cycle, making it difficult for these businesses to generate sustained excess returns. Finally, the broad materials complex across emerging markets faces similar structural issues: many companies are state owned to begin with, and/or operate in jurisdictions where the rule of law is not ideal which makes the “stroke-of-the-pen” risk an important constraint on long-term shareholder returns.

There will be periods when our investment approach is out of favour. In liquidity-driven market rallies, cyclical and deep-value companies that benefit from strong fund flow dynamics tend to outperform, while quality- and fundamentals-led approaches can lag. In a world with more uncertainties, we have not changed the way we invest. If anything, it reinforces the importance of sticking to our core investment principles: focusing on businesses with durable competitive advantages, recurring cash flows, balance-sheet resilience, capable management teams and aligned owners. We are long-term investors; and while these relative return setbacks can be unpleasant, our experience of investing over numerous cycles is that a disciplined investment process focused on the fundamentals of value creation ultimately pays off – but it can be a bumpy ride in the meantime!

Amid the market rally, we are keeping a close eye on valuations. We believe our holdings continue to offer attractive long-term compounding opportunities. Our

<sup>2</sup> FSSA Global Emerging Markets Focus Composite vs. MSCI Emerging Markets Index, as at 31 December 2025.

analysis suggests they can grow earnings at a mid- to high-teens compound annual growth rate on a weighted-average basis over the medium term – a rate that has historically been higher than the broader market and which we also expect to be the case in the coming years. Against this growth backdrop, the portfolio's aggregate valuation, at 17.8x price-to-free cash flow (vs. 22.1x for the broader market),<sup>3</sup> looks attractive and reasonable to us. This leaves us optimistic from both an absolute and a relative perspective.

## New investment: Sea Limited

Finally, we have initiated a position in Sea Limited, a Southeast Asian internet group with operations across e-commerce (Shopee), digital financial services (SeaMoney/Monee) and online gaming (Garena). The group's primary markets are in Southeast Asia with a growing presence in Brazil.

We have followed Sea for many years. We first met the company in 2018 and did more substantive work in early 2020, but we stayed on the sidelines as there were simply too many open questions. Today, however, we believe it is a structurally better business. Gaming remains a high-margin, cash-generative segment – and while it is more mature now, it is still a valuable profit engine. Where our perception has shifted most is on e-commerce and fintech. In the past, we thought it was too hard to build a sustainable advantage in third-party marketplaces, and that affordability constraints in its core markets would limit penetration. But our other holding, MercadoLibre, a Latin American online marketplace, has shown that these challenges can be overcome – and Shopee's execution increasingly suggests the same. In key markets such as Indonesia and Vietnam, Shopee's scale advantage has started to self-reinforce – its higher order density has

improved the efficiency of its logistics, lowered delivery costs and strengthened user engagement, thus effectively locking in its lead.

Similarly, on fintech, we were unsure how big the opportunity could be; but again, MercadoLibre provides a useful parallel. It's clear that e-commerce is one of the best foundations for building a fintech ecosystem, given the rich data on merchants and buyers and the natural credit demand around discretionary consumption. If Sea's trajectory follows a similar pathway to MercadoLibre's, fintech could eventually surpass e-commerce as its key profit driver.

Through multiple meetings with the management team over the years, we have come to view Sea's leadership as long-term minded, having been together for many years and focused on building a business to last. The period following Covid was challenging, but it appears to have been formative. Its founder, Forrest Li, and the senior management team went through a difficult adjustment, made hard decisions – including foregoing incentives – and communicated well with employees during a period of stress. The organisation seems to have emerged stronger as a result, with more discipline and a more proactive strategy. There is now also a clearer emphasis on long-term value creation, including reinvestment in logistics and customer experience, rather than a narrow focus on short-term earnings.

At the time of purchase, Sea Ltd was trading on a free cash flow yield of 5%. While not a bargain, we view the valuation as increasingly attractive relative to the improved quality of the business. We expect Sea to generate sustained cash flow growth over time, which should support long-term value creation. We look forward to holding this company long into the future.

Thank you as always for your continued support.

<sup>3</sup> All company data herein retrieved from annual reports or other such investor reports. Company and portfolio financial metrics and valuations are from FactSet and Bloomberg. As at 2 Feb 2026 or otherwise noted.

## Risk factors

This material is a financial promotion for FSSA Global Emerging Markets Focus Strategy intended for professional clients only in the UK, Switzerland, the EEA and elsewhere where lawful.

Capital at risk. Investing involves certain risks including:

- **The value of investments and any income from them may go down as well as up and are not guaranteed. Investors may get back significantly less than the original amount invested.**
- **Emerging market risk:** Emerging markets tend to be more sensitive to economic and political conditions than developed markets. Other factors include greater liquidity risk, restrictions on investment or transfer of assets, failed/delayed settlement and difficulties valuing securities.
- **Currency risk:** the strategy invests in assets which are denominated in other currencies; changes in exchange rates will affect the value of the strategy and could create losses. Currency control decisions made by governments could affect the value of the Strategy's investments and could cause the Strategy to defer or suspend redemptions of its shares.
- **Single country / specific region risk:** investing in a single country or specific region may be riskier than investing in a number of different countries or regions. Investing in a larger number of countries or regions helps spread risk.

Where featured, specific securities or companies are intended as an illustration of investment strategy only, and should not be construed as investment advice or a recommendation to buy or sell any security.

All information included in this material has been sourced by FSSA Investment Managers and is displayed as at 04 February 2026 unless otherwise specified and to the best of our knowledge is an accurate reflection as at this date.

For a full description of the terms of investment and the risks please see the Prospectus and Key Information Document.

**If you are in any doubt as to the suitability of our funds for your investment needs, please seek investment advice.**

## Important Information

This material is a financial promotion / marketing communication but is for general information purposes only. It does not constitute investment or financial advice and does not take into account any specific investment objectives, financial situation or needs. This is not an offer to provide asset management services, is not a recommendation or an offer or solicitation to buy, hold or sell any security or to execute any agreement for portfolio management or investment advisory services and this material has not been prepared in connection with any such offer. Before making any investment decision you should conduct your own due diligence and consider your individual investment needs, objectives and financial situation and read the relevant offering documents for details including the risk factors disclosure.

Any person who acts upon, or changes their investment position in reliance on, the information contained in these materials does so entirely at their own risk.

We have taken reasonable care to ensure that this material is accurate, current, and complete and fit for its intended purpose and audience as at the date of publication. No assurance is given or liability accepted regarding the accuracy, validity or completeness of this material.

To the extent this material contains any expression of opinion or forward-looking statements, such opinions and statements are based on assumptions, matters and sources believed to be true and reliable at the time of publication only. This material reflects the views of the individual writers only. Those views may change, may not prove to be valid and may not reflect the views of everyone at First Sentier Group.

Past performance is not indicative of future performance. All investment involves risks and the value of investments and the income from them may go down as well as up and you may not get back your original investment. Actual outcomes or results may differ materially from those discussed. Readers must not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as there is no certainty that conditions current at the time of publication will continue.

References to specific securities (if any) are included for the purpose of illustration only and should not be construed as a recommendation to buy or sell the same. Any securities referenced may or may not form part of the holdings of First Sentier Group portfolios at a certain point in time, and the holdings may change over time.

References to comparative benchmarks or indices (if any) are for illustrative and comparison purposes only, may not be available for direct investment, are unmanaged, assume reinvestment of income, and have limitations when used for comparison or other purposes because they may have volatility, credit, or other material characteristics (such as number and types of securities) that are different from the funds managed by First Sentier Group.

## Selling restrictions

Not all First Sentier Group products are available in all jurisdictions.

This material is neither directed at nor intended to be accessed by persons resident in, or citizens of any country, or types or categories of individual where to allow such access would be unlawful or where it would require any registration, filing, application for any licence or approval or other steps to be taken by First Sentier Group in order to comply with local laws or regulatory requirements in such country.

## About First Sentier Group

References to 'we', 'us' or 'our' are references to First Sentier Group, a global asset management business which is ultimately owned by Mitsubishi UFJ Financial Group (**MUFG**). Certain of our investment teams operate under the trading names AlbaCore Capital Group, First Sentier Investors, FSSA Investment Managers, Stewart Investors and RQI Investors all of which are part of the First Sentier Group. RQI branded strategies, investment products and services are not available in Germany.

This material may not be copied or reproduced in whole or in part, and in any form or by any means circulated without the prior written consent of First Sentier Group.

We communicate and conduct business through different legal entities in different locations. This material is communicated in:

**Australia and New Zealand** by First Sentier Investors (Australia) IM Ltd, authorised and regulated in Australia by the Australian Securities and Investments Commission (AFSL 289017; ABN 89 114 194311)

**European Economic Area** by First Sentier Investors (Ireland) Limited, authorised and regulated in Ireland by the Central Bank of Ireland (CBI reg no. C182306; reg office 70 Sir John Rogerson's Quay, Dublin 2, Ireland; reg company no. 629188)

**Hong Kong by First Sentier Investors (Hong Kong) Limited** and has not been reviewed by the Securities & Futures Commission in Hong Kong. First Sentier Group, First Sentier Investors, FSSA Investment Managers, Stewart Investors, RQI Investors and Igneo Infrastructure Partners are the business names of First Sentier Investors (Hong Kong) Limited.

**Singapore by First Sentier Investors (Singapore)** (reg company no. 196900420D) and this advertisement or material has not been reviewed by the Monetary Authority of Singapore. First Sentier Group (registration number 53507290B), First Sentier Investors (registration number 53236800B), FSSA Investment Managers (registration number 53314080C), Stewart Investors (registration number 53310114W), RQI Investors (registration number 53472532E) and Igneo Infrastructure Partners (registration number 53447928J) are the business names of First Sentier Investors (Singapore).

**United Kingdom** by First Sentier Investors (UK) Funds Limited, authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (reg. no. 2294743; reg office Finsbury Circus House, 15 Finsbury Circus, London EC2M 7EB)

**United States** by First Sentier Investors (US) LLC, registered with the Securities Exchange Commission (SEC# 801-93167).

**other jurisdictions**, where this document may lawfully be issued, by First Sentier Investors International IM Limited, authorised and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority (FCA ref no. 122512; Registered office: 23 St. Andrew Square, Edinburgh, EH2 1BB; Company no. SC079063).

To the extent permitted by law, MUFG and its subsidiaries are not liable for any loss or damage as a result of reliance on any statement or information contained in this document. Neither MUFG nor any of its subsidiaries guarantee the performance of any investment products referred to in this document or the repayment of capital. Any investments referred to are not deposits or other liabilities of MUFG or its subsidiaries, and are subject to investment risk, including loss of income and capital invested.